

**REMARKS**

**Summary of Office Action**

Claims 11-15 and 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 11-15 and 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

**Summary of Amendment**

Claim 11 has been amended. No new matter has been added. Hence, claims 11-15 and 17-18 are pending for consideration.

**All Claims Comply With 35 U.S.C § 112**

Claims 11-15 and 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. By this response, the limitation of “the photo-reactant material remains uncured” is deleted in claim 11. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Claims 11-15 and 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. By this response, the limitation of “the photo-reactant material and the liquid crystal material have a character aligned *by the same UV light, linearly polarized, as the UV light for curing the sealant*” is amended to “the photo-reactant material and

the liquid crystal material have a character aligned by a linearly polarized UV light, wherein the linearly polarized UV light is the same as the UV light for curing the sealant” so as to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

With no other rejection pending, Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 11-15 and 17-18 are in condition for allowance.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the remarks to place the application in clear condition for allowance or, in the alternative, in better form for appeal. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant’s undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under

37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**

Dated: September 22, 2010

By: /Xiaobin You/  
Xiaobin You  
Reg. No. 62,510

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Telephone: 202.739.7000  
Facsimile: 202.739.3001